

&5<67\$0(7+\$03+(7\$0,1(86(\$021*
+,9 326,7,9(*\$< \$1' %,6(;8\$/ 0(1

'DQLHO &HER

@>>F?:423=6 :D62D6D '@=:4J)6D62C49 C@FA

\$%675\$&7

7KLV VWXG\ GHVFULEHV IDFWRUV DVVRFLDWHG ZLWK PHWKDPSKHWI
PHWKDPSKHWI DPLQH VLVWLYH JD\ DQG ELVH[XDO PHQ \$ IDFWRU DQDO
LQLWLDWLRQ DQG IRXG IDFWRUV ZHUWRLGRWLIIRU HQWUHP DQG
0HWKDPSKHWDPLQH WR SDUW\ DFRXGWRHGWIRU PRWLDQFHQQRWK
0HWKDPSKHWDPLQH WR FRSH FDSWXUHG DOPRVW RI GRUUYDULD
DSSUR[LPDWHO\ RI WKH YDULDQFH DQG PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH IRU \
YDULDQFH 5HJUHVVLRQ DQDO\HV UHYDOHG GLIIHUHQWLDO DVVRF
+,9 ULVNDKDYLRU PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH IRU VHOI HVWHHP SUHGLFWHG ELQJH
PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH WR FRSH ZDV DVVRFLDWHG ZLWK LQMHWLQJ PI
ZDV DVVRFLDWHG ZLWK QXPEHU RI LOOLFLW GUXDVVRFLDWHG ZLWK
D JUHDWHU QXPEHU RI 67,V 7KHVH ILQGLQJV VXJJHVW WKDW PHWKD
LV PXOWLIDFWHG ZKLFK FRXOG KDYH LPSOLFDWLRQV IRU LQWHUYH
.(<:25'6 PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH LV LQWHUYH ZKLFK DPHXDO\ ZLWK PHQ

,1752'8&7,21 ZKLFK LPSOLFDWHV PHWKDPSKHWDPL
0HWKDPSKHWDPLQH XVH DQDO\HV DPHXDO\ DQDO\HV 3ODQNH\ C
EHKDYLRU EHHQ OLQNHG LQ VFRXGWRHGWIRU DQDO\HV DQDO\HV
DQG ELVH[XDO PHQ &ROID[HWDO\ DQDO\HV DQDO\HV DQDO\HV
DO)HUQIQQH] HW DO RQ VFRXGWRHGWIRU DQDO\HV 7KH UHOHYDQ
3XUFHOO HW DDXGU\ HW ZLWK PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH XVH ZKHQ
0HWKDPSKHWDPLQH XVH LV DVVRFLDWHG ZLWK DQDO\HV DQDO\HV
VH[XDO ULVN IDFWRUV DQDO\HV WUDQVPLVSRQWLIIRU XVHUI
GLVLQKLELWLRQ HQKDQFHG VFRXGWRHGWIRU DQDO\HV DQDO\HV
FRQGRP XVH KLJK UDWHV RI PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH DQDO\HV DQDO\HV
LQIHFWLRQV 67,V IRQFHQDQFHG DQDO\HV &ROID[6KRSWDZ
DFWLYLWLHV SURORQJHG VH[XDO\ DQDO\HV DQDO\HV DQDO\HV
SDUWQHUV DQG FDXDO RU DQDO\HV DQDO\HV DQDO\HV DQDO\HV
&ROID[HW DO OROLWRUXVH XDO 5XMR PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH LQ 6C
6KRSWDZ ,Q D UHFHQQDQFH DQDO\HV DQDO\HV DQDO\HV
'UXPULJKW 3DWWHUVRQSDQDO\HV DQDO\HV DQDO\HV DQDO\HV
WKDW D FDXDO UHODWLRQV KLSLEHQLZKHQ PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH RI
XVH DQDO\HV DQDO\HV VHVVRWDWXV LV OLNHO\ WR H[LWV

XQGHUVWDQGLQJ DERXW PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH LIQWKLWDWLRQ ID
 SRSXODWLRQ VH[XDORXWFRPHV
 5HVHDFK VXJJHVW WKDW 7KSHSSOHVHQWHVWXG\ EXLOGV XS
 PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH QXPHURXV UHDXWROXWDLWLIQYWRWLJDWLRQ LQW
 VH[XDOKDYLRREBDO LQWHUDFWLRLWK DQG KDPSSKHWDPLQH XVH 6HPS
 +DONLWLVLV)LVFKJUXQG 3DUVRQ 6DQQLWLXDQWLWDWLYH
 OXNKHUMHH 3DODPDU 6HPSOHU 3DPSOHVRZQ DLPHG WR LGH
 *UDQW 6KR&WDE 6HPSOHFWRUV DVVRFLDWHG ZLWK PHWKDP
 DQG FROOHDJXWKHPDWDQDQGHVFRQGDU\ JRDO ZDV WR H[DPLQH I
 TXDOLWDWLYH GSRWDWURP PHQ ZKRWKDWLRQ IDFWRUV LQ UHODWLRQ
 VH[ZLWK PHQ 060 DQG LGHQWLIFYHGLQZFXSUULPDW\GUXJ XVH SDW
 PRWLYDWRUV IRU PHWKDPSKHWDPLQHFRPHV XVH H KISRWKHVHLJHG WKD
 HQKDQFHPHQWGLDGLWLROO RI QHPDWKDPSPILHFM LQLWLDWLRQ ZRXOG
 DVVRFLDWHGSRZLWKLYH9VHURWVHODXWHG WR ELQJH XVH LQMHWLR
 FRQGXFWHG D TXDOLWDWLYHDFQDOGVXWVRIXDSURHQHFWHG VH[
 LQ 0LDPL DQG UHSRUWHG WKDWUHDVVRQV WKDW WKH\ JDYH
 IRU LQLWLDWLRQ PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH XVH ZHUH WR DYRLG
 ORQHOLQHV DQG LVRDWRQ GHDOZLWKUJEROOQDQFHGQHWZHHQ
 DQG IRU VH[XDO GLVSDUVRQWLDDQSWREHURV EDVHOLQRIDVVHVPHQ
 :LOWRQ UHSRUWLVKDWPHQDQZKR HQUROOHG LQ WKH (*
 ELVH[XDO PHQ XVHG PHWKDPSKHWDPLQHFRPHV QDQVLRQDQLGLWKLQ
 IDFLOLWDWH KDYLQJ D SOHDVDFRQWROHQLZLVSRWVHWDZROHG VS
 PHQ RI FRORU LQ WKH VDPSOHSXVHGLYHWWKDPSPKHWDPLQHPLQHXVLQ
 GXH WR VRFLDO SUHVXUXHV +DONLWLVLVSDQGLZLWPHQDQXOHDVW
 DOVR H[DPLQH UHDXWROXVIRUGPPHWWKDPSPKHWDPLQHCHDVW WZLFH
 XVH DPRQJ JD\ DQG ELVH[XDOPRQVQDQGLQDQGLQW RQFH LQ WKH
 UHDXWROXV IRU XVH GLIIHUG EDVSRQWGHFWRGUDSKOFRUDQDO VH[ZI
 +,9 VWDWXV VXJJHVWLQJ D FRPSDWLYHWHUDFRLDQDQV SDUWQH
 DQRWKHU VWXG\ +DONLWLVLV DQGLVPH SHULRGSDUWLSDQWV Z
 JD\ DQELVH[XDO PHQ ZKR XVGDPDWLRQSRHWDLQXHWUDFK VWUD
 EH\RG MXVW ZHHNHQG ELQJHDFRPHVSRWVHGLDWRHGLD FQPSI
 UHSRUW XVLQJ PHWKDPSKHWDPLQHWHUFRQVGHDOOQHQZLWKQPHQW
 XQSOHDVQW HPRWLRQV WR DYRLGSKKWLFRQDSQWUDQDQWRRI PHW
 HQJDJH LQ SOHDVQW WLPHV ZLWK RWKHUJYDQWUHFH DQG
 FROOHDJXHV LQ D VWXG\ RIILEDHEDHQ UHSRUWHG ODXVEDFK
 PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH DQG ZRPHQDQGLWVWLFLSDQWV DWWHQG
 \RXQJHU DJH RI LQLWLDWLRQ PLQDQWVXELWDFH XVHWRFRYHUHG
 EHLQJ \$IULFDQ \$PHULFDQ HDUHQXGLQJLQDQJHKKDGLFKDUDFWHU
 and starting methamphetamine to “have fun” or “get VH[XDO ULVN SUDFWLFHV DQG UHDXW
 high” predicted earlier age of metKDPSPKHWDPLQHFRPHVSDUWLSDQWV ZHUH SI
 LQLWLDWLRQ 3DUVRQV .HOORFQGHVHQWHVWKHU EDVHOLQH DVV
 TXDOLWDWLYH\ H[DPLQH FRKHVHOLHFWVVLRO
 PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH LQLWLDWLRQ Background Characteristics
 ORFDWLRQ DQG KRZ PHWKDPSKHWDPLQHFRPHVSDUWLSHGLHG WKH
 DPRQJ \RXQJ JD\ DQG ELVH[XDO PHQVWRRODQGOHYHO VH[XDO
 WKDW D SDUWLVLVSDQWV KDG VWUDFWLQVWVWDWXV LQFRPH
 PHWKDPSKHWDPLQHFRPHVSDUWLSHGLHG UHFHLYHG WKHU +,9 GLDJQR
 OHWKDPSKHWDPLQH XVHUV DQ GLIIHUHQFHWRRI PHWKDPSK
 DQRWKHU LQ WHUPV RI ZKHWKHU WKH HQJDJHGLDQWV
 XVH LQMHW PHWKDPSKHWDPLQH LQWUDFRLDQV RU XVH ZHUH
 RWKHU GUXJV DV 6HPSOH3DWWHUVRQSDUWLSDQWV ZHUH DOVR DVV
 6WUDWKGHH 6HPSOH 3DWWHUVRQSDUWLSHGLHG UHFHLYHG WKHU +,9 GLDJQR
 6HPSOH 3DWWHUVRQ *UDQW “Binge use” was defined as “using large H
 SDWWHUQV DUH DVVRFLDWHG ZLWK TXDOLWLYHFRPHVSDUWLSHGLHG D SH
 FKDUDFWHULVWLFV IRU H[DPSOH ELQJH XVHUVWHQWR EH
 \RXQJHU DQG OHVV HGLDGLWV WKDWQDQGLWVWKDWVHGLHG
 6HPSOH HW DO 2QH DUHD WKDWKDVQRWVHGLHG DV ZHO
 H[SORUH LV ZKHWKHU UHDXWROXVIRUGPPHWWKDPSPKHWDPLQHFRPHVSDUWLSHGLHG WR L
 LQLWLDWLRQ GLIIHU DFFRUGLQJ WR XPHUDWLYHGLDQWVSDUWLSHGLHG
 XQGHVWXGLHG DUHD LV WKH PRQV EHWZHHQ

- **Sexual risk**—Participants received a list of STIs and were asked to endorse those that they had had in the past two months. Endorsed items were summed to create the total number of STIs in the past two months. Participants were also asked how many times they had had anal, oral, or vaginal sex in the past two months with and without condoms. A summary variable was created to represent total number of unprotected sex acts in the past two months.
- **Reasons for initiation of methamphetamine use**—Participants received a list of 19 reasons why they may have started to use methamphetamine, based on pilot data from Semple et al. (2002). Participants were free to select as many reasons as applied and were asked to report any “other” reasons that were not listed.

DATA ANALYSIS

An exploratory factor analysis using principal components with varimax rotation was conducted on reasons for initiating methamphetamine use in order to group the items into a smaller number of meaningful categories. First, an eigenvalue above 1 was set as the minimum for retention, and variables with loadings of .5 and above, which is considered practically significant, were retained (Hair, Anderson, Tatham & Black, 1998). Factors were then examined for thematic content and then a second factor analysis was conducted which limited the number of extracted factors to four. We then examined initiation in relation to three drug outcomes (methamphetamine injection, binge use of methamphetamine, and number of illicit drugs) and two sexual risk outcomes (unprotected sex and STIs). Separate logistic regressions were used to test the dichotomous dependent variables (binge methamphetamine use and IV methamphetamine use) using the following predictor variables: education, age, number of years of methamphetamine use, race (0 = White, 1 = non-White), and factors for initiating methamphetamine use. Logarithmic transformations were used on the methamphetamine-initiation factors to correct for skewness and kurtosis. Hierarchical linear regressions were then run to predict number of illicit drugs, number of unprotected sex acts, and number of STIs using the same predictor variables that were used for the logistic regressions. Missing data for number of STIs ($n=11$), unprotected sex ($n=3$), and years of methamphetamine use ($n=6$) resulted in regressions with 324 and 334 participants.

RESULTS

The majority of study participants self-identified as gay (77%) and had a mean age of 37 years (Table 1). The sample was 57% Caucasian, 21% African American, 13% Latino, and 9% Native American, Asian American, or “other.” Sixty percent had some college education or above, yet 52% earned less than \$10,000 per year. The mean number

of years of methamphetamine use was 11.6 (S.D. = 8.3; median = 11). The mean grams of methamphetamine used in the past 30 days was 5.2 (S.D. = 11.3; median = 1.8).

Reasons for initiating methamphetamine use

Participants were asked to indicate all reasons why they had started to use methamphetamine. The most frequent reason was to experiment (73%; $n=248$), followed by to party (67%; $n=229$), to get high (59%; $n=199$), enhance sexual pleasure (49%; $n=168$), get pumped for sex (48%; $n=164$), get more energy (45%; $n=152$), stay awake (42%; $n=144$), escape (38%; $n=129$), relieve boredom (38%; $n=128$), have friends (34%; $n=116$), cope with mood (32%; $n=110$), meet sex partners (31%; $n=107$), feel more self confident (27%; $n=93$), replace another drug (24%; $n=81$), deal with grief (21%; $n=70$), feel more attractive (19%; $n=66$), do one’s job (19%; $n=65$), cope with HIV-related symptoms (15%; $n=52$), and lose weight (13%; $n=44$).

Factor Analysis In the exploratory factor analysis, one methamphetamine-initiation reason, “to replace another drug,” was excluded because it had a low factor loading. The remaining 18 reasons were grouped into five factors, which captured 58% of the variance of the 18 reasons for starting methamphetamine. The factors were examined for thematic content and two factors that we labeled “for sex” and “to party” overlapped thematically. A second factor analysis, which limited the number of extracted factors to four, was conducted. Two items that with low loadings, “to lose weight” and “to experiment” were removed. The remaining 16 items captured 63% of the variance. The items that had previously loaded on two separate factors, now loaded together on the first factor that we labeled “to party” (i.e., get ‘pumped’ for sex; meet sex partners; enhance sexual pleasure; get high; party). This factor accounted for 37.5% of the variance. The second factor contained five items (i.e., escape; cope with mood; cope with HIV related symptoms; relieve boredom; deal with grief) and was labeled “to cope” capturing 9.4% of the variance. The third factor was labeled “for energy” (i.e., get more energy; stay awake; do my job) and captured approximately 8.5% of the variance. The fourth factor was “for self esteem” (i.e., have friends/peer pressure; feel more attractive; feel more confident) and captured approximately 7.4% of the variance (Table 2).

Factors associated with methamphetamine initiation

Drug Behavior Outcomes—Logistic regression analysis was used to assess whether any of our methamphetamine-initiation factors was associated with binge methamphetamine use (Table 3). The “for self-esteem” factor was associated with a 4-fold increase in the odds of binge methamphetamine use. Number of years of methamphetamine use was associated with a 1-fold increase in the odds of binge methamphetamine use. Younger age was associated

with being in the binge group (OR, .912; 95% CI: .874–.952), as was being Caucasian (OR, .613; 95% CI: .376–1.001). Logistic regression analysis was also used to examine whether any of our methamphetamine-initiation factors were associated with methamphetamine injection (Table 3). The “to cope” factor was associated with almost a 4-fold increase in the odds of methamphetamine injection. The “for energy” factor was negatively associated with injecting methamphetamine (OR, .758; 95% CI: .587–.978). Number of years of methamphetamine use was associated with a 1-fold increase in the odds of binge methamphetamine use. Less education was also associated with injecting methamphetamine (OR, .724; 95% CI: .575–.911). In a separate multiple regression equation, number of illicit drugs used was entered as the dependent variable. Men who reported using a higher number of illicit drugs scored higher on the “for energy” initiation factor, were younger, and had fewer years of methamphetamine use.

Sexual Risk Outcomes—In a separate multiple regression equation, number of unprotected sex acts was entered as the dependent variable with the same independent variables as in the previous regression models. The model was not significant ($p=.125$). When number of STIs was the dependent variable, men who had more years of methamphetamine use and scored higher on the “to party” initiation factor reported a higher number of STIs.

DISCUSSION

The present study’s relatively large sample enabled us to analyze reasons for initial methamphetamine use into four factors, which then allowed us to quantify reasons for methamphetamine initiation among HIV-positive, methamphetamine-using gay and bisexual men. These regressions related methamphetamine-initiation factors with other risk behaviors. For example, number of illicit drugs was associated with initiating methamphetamine “for energy.” This may reflect a strategy by methamphetamine users to enhance methamphetamine’s effects. For example, participants in a study by Patterson and colleagues (2005) who were heavy polydrug users most frequently reported that they mixed other drugs with methamphetamine “to get a better high.” Those who initiated methamphetamine use for energy prior to seroconversion may be attempting to self-medicate and to combat HIV-related fatigue, whereas those who began using methamphetamine prior to seroconversion may be doing so to increase work productivity. Future research on HIV-positive methamphetamine users should ascertain participants’ serostatus at the time they initiated methamphetamine in order to see if there is a connection between serostatus and reason for initiation. Those who began using methamphetamine for energy after being diagnosed with HIV may benefit from interventions targeting HIV-related fatigue. Initiation of methamphetamine “to party”

was associated with higher rates of STIs. Semple and colleagues (2002) reported that some participants used methamphetamine to facilitate sexual experimentation, to engage in more aggressive sexual behavior, to have multiple partners over prolonged periods, and to experience “a lot of exchange of body fluids,” all behaviors that increase the risk of contracting or transmitting STIs. Motivational interviewing and cognitive behavioral therapy, which have been successfully used to promote safer sex behaviors among methamphetamine users, could help them see connections between impulsivity, methamphetamine use, and their high-risk sexual behavior (Mausbach et al., 2007). MSM who participate in high-risk sexual behavior often also engage in attempts at harm reduction, such as strategic positioning (Grov et al., 2007), which indicates some ambivalence about their high-risk behavior, which could be further explored with motivational interviewing. McVinney (2006) recommends pointing out discrepancies between perceived benefits of methamphetamine use and negative side effects to methamphetamine using clients. For example, if someone is using methamphetamine to enhance sexual pleasure, a clinician may want to discuss the negative sexual side effects associated with methamphetamine use, such as erectile dysfunction. Use of other drugs to combat sexual dysfunction should also be addressed with clients who are using methamphetamine to party. Binge methamphetamine use is associated with the “for self esteem” initiation factor. In a study of HIV-positive MSM, Semple and colleagues (2003) found that binge users and nonbinge users differed in their reasons for starting methamphetamine use. Binge users said that they started using for reasons associated with self-esteem, such as wanting self-confidence or to feel more attractive. Kurtz (2005) suggested that gay men have to deal with being debased for their sexual orientation by mainstream society and that within gay culture, they face pressure to maintain sexual prowess and attractiveness. According to Kurtz’s findings, some gay men turn to methamphetamine to deal with these threats to their self esteem. Addressing the response expectancy of methamphetamine increasing self-confidence may help binge users to understand the function that methamphetamine performs in their lives. In turn, understanding this connection may help binge users consider other ways to meet these needs besides using methamphetamine. Injection of methamphetamine was associated with the “to cope” methamphetamine initiation factor. Earlier findings may help to explain this. Ibanez, Purcell, Stall, Parsons, & Gomez (2005) found that HIV-positive gay and bisexual injectors reported more anxiety, hostility, and childhood sexual abuse than non-injectors. Semple and colleagues (2004) reported that methamphetamine injectors had more experiences of rejection and scored higher on financial, familial, and

legal problems. Methamphetamine injectors also reported less social support than non-injectors. Since methamphetamine injectors often feel particularly stigmatized (Semple, Patterson, & Grant, 2004), it may be therapeutically beneficial to focus on the possible connection between their methamphetamine use and coping. Working to increase healthier methods of coping and increased social support could be an important element in addressing reduction or cessation of methamphetamine. To address the lack of social support that methamphetamine injectors often report, group-based interventions may be especially beneficial to this subgroup of methamphetamine users. While the connection between methamphetamine use and high-risk sexual behavior has been demonstrated in many studies (Colfax et al., 2005; Fernández et al., 2007; Purcell et al., 2005), the present findings examined why gay and bisexual men are drawn to methamphetamine in the first place. The popular media portray gay and bisexual men as using methamphetamine as “fuel for all-night parties and...sexual marathons” (Specter, 2005). This view of the methamphetamine epidemic is sensational, but it does not paint a full picture of possible motivations. One often-overlooked possibility is that some gay and bisexual men may resort to higher-risk behaviors, either sexual or drug-related or both, in response to social and emotional stressors. For example, some studies have found that having experiences of anti-gay discrimination predicted frequency of unprotected anal sex,

(Díaz, Ayala, & Bein, 2004; Jarama, Kennamer, Poppen, Hendricks, & Bradford, 2005). Other research has demonstrated an association between substance use and coping with stigma and discrimination related to sexual orientation (McKirnan & Peterson, 1988; Rosario, Hunter, & Gwadz, 1997). Kurtz (2005) reported that gay men in focus groups on methamphetamine initiation unanimously attributed drug use by gay men to difficulties that they face in our homophobic culture. Williams (2003) suggested that substance use can act as an externalizing coping response used to numb the pain of negative social conditions, such as racism and negative economic conditions. In the same vein, methamphetamine may provide a temporary escape from the stigma and discrimination that gay and bisexual men often face. It may also help gay and bisexual men cope with HIV-positivity or the fear of contracting HIV. McKirnan, Vanable, Ostrow, & Hope (2001) suggest that substance use can act as a “cognitive escape” and provide a culturally sanctioned time out from stringent social norms that condemn unprotected sex. In addition to focusing on the individual’s role in substance abuse, educators and outreach workers should address the harmful publichealth impacts of stigma and discrimination. The present findings support the conclusion that methamphetamine use among gay and bisexual men is a complex phenomenon (Halkitis, Parsons, and

Stirratt, 2001). Reasons for initiating methamphetamine use are associated with different risk outcomes. Our methamphetamine-initiation factors may help us to understand methamphetamine’s role in the lives of HIV-positive gay and bisexual men and what underlying issues need to be addressed. Our findings support previous conclusions that HIV-positive MSM could benefit from treatment approaches that are tailored to specific motivations for methamphetamine use and to the links between methamphetamine and sexual risk behavior (Semple, Patterson, & Grant, 2002). Our current investigation has several limitations. Findings may not generalize to all HIVpositive MSM, since our sample consists of self-identified gay and bisexual methamphetamine-using men who were volunteers in a sexual risk reduction intervention. It is possible that MSM who identify as neither gay nor bisexual would have different motivations for initiating methamphetamine use, and findings from the present study should not be extended to this group. Another limitation is that we did not ask participants to rank order their reasons for methamphetamine use and instead had participants choose as many reasons for initiation as they wanted. Examining the main reason for methamphetamine initiation, as well as reasons ranked as less important may help to better understand the relationship between methamphetamine initiation and HIV-risk related outcomes. Participants were asked to provide reasons for initiation, but in many cases initial methamphetamine use occurred years ago. It is possible that memories about initial reasons for methamphetamine use have been skewed. Finally, we did not examine possible differences that HIV status may have on reasons for methamphetamine initiation. Future studies should examine possible differences between methamphetamine users who began using before their HIV diagnosis and those who began methamphetamine use after their HIV diagnosis.

REFERENCES

1. Brecht ML, Greenwell L, Anglin MD. Substance use pathways to methamphetamine use among treated users. *Addictive Behaviors* 2007; 32:24–38
2. Cebo D, Assessing of Knowledge and Awareness HPV and HIV Among Teenagers in Europe, *Worldwide J. For Multidisc. Res and Develop*, 2016 (3):216-219
3. Colfax G, Coates TJ, Husnik MJ, Huang Y, Buchbinder S, Koblin B, Chesney M, Vittinghoff E. the EXPLORE Study Team. Longitudinal patterns of methamphetamine, popper (amyl nitrite), and cocaine use and high-risk sexual behavior among a cohort of San Francisco men who have sex with men. *Journal of Urban Health: Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine* 2005;82: i62–i70
4. Colfax G, Shoptaw S. The methamphetamine epidemic: implications for HIV prevention and treatment. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports* 2005; 2:194–199

5. Díaz RM, Ayala G, Bein E. Sexual risk as an outcome of social oppression: Data from a probability sample of Latino gay men in three U.S. cities. *Cultural Diversity & Ethnic Minority Psychology* 2004; 10:255–67
6. Drumright LN, Little SJ, Strathdee SA, Slymen DJ, Araneta MRG, Malcarne VL, Daar ES, Gorbach PM. Unprotected anal intercourse and substance use among men who have sex with men with recent HIV infection. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome* 2006; 43:344–350
7. Drumright LN, Patterson TL, Strathdee SA. Club drugs as causal risk factors for HIV acquisition among MSM: A review. *Substance Use & Misuse* 2006; 41:1551–1601
8. Fernández MI, Bowen GS, Warren JC, Ibañez GE, Hernandez N, Harper GW, Prado G. Crystal methamphetamine: a source of added sexual risk for Hispanic men who have sex with men? *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 2007; 86:245–52
9. Grov C, DeBusk JA, Bimbi DS, Golub SA, Nanin JE, Parsons JT. Barebacking, the Internet, and harm reduction: An intercept survey with gay and bisexual men in Los Angeles and New York City. *AIDS and Behavior* 2007; 11:527–36
10. Hair, JF.; Anderson, RE.; Tatham, RL.; Black, W.C. Multivariate data analysis. 4. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; 1998.
11. Halkitis PN, Fischgrund BN, Parsons JT. Explanations for methamphetamine use among gay and bisexual men in New York City. *Substance Use & Misuse* 2005; 40:1331–1345]
12. Halkitis PN, Mukherjee PP, Palamar JJ. Multi-level modeling to explain methamphetamine use among gay and bisexual men. *Addiction* 2007; 102:76–83
13. Halkitis PN, Parsons JT, Stirratt MJ. A double epidemic: crystal methamphetamine uses and its relation to HIV prevention among gay men. *Journal of Homosexuality* 2001; 41:17–35
14. Halkitis PN, Parsons JT, Wilton L. An exploratory study of contextual and situational factors related to methamphetamine use among gay and bisexual men in New York City. *Journal of Drug Issues* 2003; 33:413–432.
15. Halkitis PN, Shrem MT. Psychological differences between binge and chronic methamphetamine using gay and bisexual men. *Addictive Behaviors* 2006; 31:549–552
16. Hinkin CH, Barclay TR, Castellon SA, Levine AJ, Durvasula RS, Marion SD, Myers HF, Longshore D. Drug use and medication adherence among HIV-1 infected individuals. *AIDS and Behavior* 2007; 11:185–94
17. Ibanez GE, Purcell DW, Stall R, Parsons JT, Gomez CA. Sexual risk, substance use, and psychological distress in HIV-positive gay and bisexual men who also inject drugs. *AIDS* 2005;19: S49–S55
18. Jarama SL, Kenamer JD, Poppen PJ, Hendricks M, Bradford J. Psychosocial, behavioral, and cultural predictors of sexual risk for HIV infection among Latino men who have sex with men. *AIDS & Behavior* 2005; 9:513–523
19. Kurtz SP. Post-circuit blues: Motivations and consequences of crystal meth use among gay men in Miami. *AIDS and Behavior* 2005; 9:63–72
20. Mausebach BT, Semple SJ, Strathdee SA, Zians J, Patterson TL. Efficacy of a behavioral intervention for increasing safer sex behaviors in HIV-positive MSM methamphetamine users: results from the EDGE study. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 2007; 87:249–57
21. McKirnan DJ, Peterson PL. Stress, expectancies, and vulnerability to substance abuse: A test of a model among homosexual men. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 1988; 97:461–466
22. McKirnan DJ, Vanable PA, Ostrow DG, Hope B. Expectancies of sexual “escape” and sexual risk among drug and alcohol-involved gay and bisexual men. *Journal of Substance Abuse* 2001; 13:137–154
23. McVinney LD. Harm reduction, crystal methamphetamine, and gay men. *Journal of Gay and Lesbian Psychotherapy* 2006; 10:159–169
24. Molitor F, Truax SR, Ruiz JD, Sun RK. Association of methamphetamine use during sex with risky sexual behaviors and HIV infection among non-injection drug users. *The Western Journal of Medicine* 1998; 168:93–97
25. Parsons JT, Kelly BC, Weiser JD. Initiation into methamphetamine use for young gay and bisexual men. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 2007; 90:135–144]
26. Patterson TL, Semple SJ, Zians JK, Strathdee SA. Methamphetamine-using HIV-positive men who have sex with men: Correlates of polydrug use. *Journal of Urban Health* 2005; 82:1–6
27. Plankey MW, Ostrow DG, Stall R, Cox C, Li X, Peck JA, Jacobson LP. The relationship between methamphetamine and popper use and risk of HIV seroconversion in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2007; 45:85–92
28. Purcell DW, Moss S, Remien RH, Woods WJ, Parsons JT. Illicit substance use, sexual risk, and HIV-positive gay and bisexual men: differences by serostatus of casual partners. *AIDS* 2005;19: S37–S47
29. Rippeth JD, Heaton RK, Carey CL, Marcotte TD, Moore DJ, Gonzalez R, Wolfson T, Grant I. Methamphetamine dependence increases risk of neuropsychological impairment in HIV infected persons. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* 2004; 10:1–14
30. Rosario M, Hunter J, Gwardz M. Exploration of substance use among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth: Prevalence and correlates. *Journal of Adolescent Research* 1997; 12:454–476
31. Semple SJ, Patterson TL, Grant I. Motivations associated with methamphetamine use among HIV+ men who have sex with men. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 2002; 22:149–156
32. Semple SJ, Patterson TL, Grant I. Binge use of methamphetamine among HIV-positive men who have sex with men: pilot data and HIV prevention implications. *AIDS Education and Prevention* 2003; 15:133–47
33. Semple SJ, Patterson TL, Grant I. A comparison of injection and non-injection methamphetamineusing HIV positive men who have sex with men. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 2004; 76:203–12
34. Shoptaw S. Methamphetamine use in urban gay and bisexual populations. *Topics in HIV medicine* 2006; 14:84–7

35. Vaudrey J, Raymond HF, Chen S, Hecht J, Ahrens K, McFarland W. Indicators of use of methamphetamine and other substances among men

who have sex with men, San Francisco, 2003–2006. *Drugs and Alcohol Dependence* 2007; 90:97–100.

APPENDIX

Table 1

Selected sociodemographic characteristics (n=340)

Characteristic	n	%
<i>Race or Ethnicity</i>		
White	195	57%
African American	71	21%
Latino	44	13%
Native American	14	4%
Other	14	4%
Asian	2	.6%
<i>Sexual Orientation</i>		
Homosexual	263	77%
Bisexual	71	21%
Not sure	5	2%
Heterosexual	1	.3%
<i>Ages</i>		
20–29	53	16%
30–39	167	49%
40–49	103	30%
50+	17	5%
<i>Education</i>		
Less than high school	45	13%
High school degree	91	27%
2-year college degree	125	37%
4-year college degree	54	16%
Advanced degree	25	7%
<i>Income</i>		
< \$10,000	175	52%
\$10,000–\$19,999	92	27%
\$20,000–\$29,999	27	8%
\$30,000–\$39,999	15	4%
\$40,000–\$49,999	13	4%
\$50,000+	18	5%

Table 2

Factor loadings for reasons for initiating methamphetamine use

Meth-initiation reason	Factor 1 Meth to party	Factor 2 Meth for sex	Factor 3 Meth for energy	Factor 4 Meth for self-esteem
1. To get high	.521	.216	.444	-.054
2. To get more energy	.255	.041	.793	.089
3. To feel more attractive	.331	.210	.123	.655
4. To escape	.275	.625	.259	.138
5. To stay awake	.241	.181	.800	.014
6. To have friends/peer pressure	-.088	.024	-.044	.815
7. To party	.560	.207	.337	.065
8. To get pumped for sex	.869	.148	.112	.048
9. To meet sex partner	.704	.292	.080	.294
10. To enhance sexual pleasure	.857	.197	.093	.039
11. To cope with mood	.180	.795	.228	.123
12. To cope with HIV-related symptoms	.151	.699	.084	-.014
13. To relieve boredom	.235	.580	.291	.227
14. To deal with grief	.143	.783	.038	.124
15. To do my job	-.048	.260	.660	.106
16. To feel more confident	.186	.282	.414	.557

Factor loadings of 0.5 or above are bolded to indicate practically significant (see Hair, Anderson, Tatham, & Black, 1998).

Table 3

Summary of Binomial Stepwise Logistic Regression Analysis Predicting Binge Methamphetamine Use (N=334) (Equation 1) and Predicting IV Methamphetamine Use (N=334) (Equation 2)

Final Model	Equation 1		Equation 2	
	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Intercept	9.661		.795	
<i>Set 1:</i>				
Education	.870	.691–1.095	.724**	.575–.911
Age	.912***	.874–.952	.996	.959–1.035
Race	.613*	.376–1.001	1.431	.883–2.320
Years of Methamphetamine Use	1.074***	1.037–1.113	1.040*	1.006–1.075
<i>Set 2:</i>				
To Party Factor	1.132	.954–1.343	1.090	.923–1.287
To Cope Factor	1.946	.676–5.602	3.658*	1.276–10.491
For Self Esteem Factor	4.253*	1.272–14.225	.395	.117–1.336
For Energy Factor	.894	.693–1.154	.758*	.587–.978

* p < 0.05;

** p < 0.01;

*** p < 0.001